

1 James R. Condo (#005867)
2 Amanda Sheridan (#005867)
3 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.
4 One Arizona Center
5 400 E. Van Buren
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2204
Telephone: (602) 382-6000
JCondo@swlaw.com
ASheridan@swlaw.com

6 Richard B. North, Jr. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
7 Georgia Bar No. 545599
8 Matthew B. Lerner (admitted *pro hac vice*)
9 Georgia Bar No. 446986
10 NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP
11 Atlantic Station
12 201 17th Street, NW, Suite 1700
13 Atlanta, GA 30363
14 Telephone: (404) 322-6000
15 Richard.North@nelsonmullins.com
16 Matthew.Lerner@nelsonmullins.com

12 *Attorneys for Defendants*
13 *C. R. Bard, Inc. and*
13 *Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

18 IN RE: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability MDL NO. 15-02641-PHX-DGC
Litigation

19 | This Document Relates to:

20 DEITRA DAVIS,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:17-CV-02263-DGC

22 | v.

23 C. R. BARD, INC., a New Jersey
24 Corporation; AND BARD PERIPHERAL
VASCULAR INC., an Arizona
Corporation,

**DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT
FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH
AMENDED CASE MANAGEMENT
ORDER NO. 5**

Defendants.

26

21

28

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b) Defendants C. R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. (“Bard”) respectfully move this Court to dismiss Plaintiff Deitra Davis’s Complaint for failure to comply with the terms of Amended Case Management Order No. 5 pertaining to timely service of Plaintiff Profile Forms (“PPF”).

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On July 12, 2017, Plaintiff filed a Short Form Complaint in MDL 2641, *In Re: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability Litigation*, and subsequently, served Bard with a copy of the Summons and Complaint on July 17, 2017. *See* Waiver and Complaint attached hereto as Exhibit A. On March 3, 2016, this Court entered Case Management Order No. 5 [Doc. 927] (the “Order”) which ordered, in part:

In cases that have been filed in, removed to or transferred to this MDL on or after the date of this Order, each plaintiff shall submit a completed PPF to defendants within 60 days of filing the complaint.

Id. at 1:18-19. The Order further made clear that:

If a plaintiff does not submit a PPF within the time specified in this Order, defendants shall mail an overdue letter by e-mail and U.S. mail to Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel and the plaintiffs’ individual representative counsel, stating that defendants may move to dismiss that plaintiff’s case within 20 days of receipt of the letter. If no PPF is received within those 20 additional days, defendants may move immediately to dismiss that plaintiff’s case.

Id. at 2:17-22.

Plaintiff’s PPF was due to be served within sixty (60) days of filing the complaint, to wit: Monday, September 11, 2017, but Bard was not served with Plaintiff’s PPF by that date. Subsequently, on September 22, 2017; November 10, 2017; and January 22, 2018, counsel for Bard sent Plaintiff’s Co-Lead Counsel and individual representative counsel an overdue letter via email and U.S. Mail notifying Plaintiff’s counsel of the overdue PPF, and Bard’s right under the Order to move to dismiss the Complaint for failure to comply with the protocol set forth by the Order. *See* emails and letters from Bard’s counsel, attached as

1 **Exhibit B.** To date, Bard still has not received a PPF from the plaintiff in response to its
2 overdue letter.

II. ARGUMENT AND CITATION TO AUTHORITY

The Ninth Circuit has ruled that at least one federal district court sitting over an MDL action did not abuse its discretion in dismissing certain plaintiff's complaints for failure to comply with case management orders related to service of plaintiff fact sheets. *In re: Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Products Liability Litigation*, 460 F.3d 1217, 1232-34 (9th Cir. 2006).

9 Here, the Court entered an Order governing the timely service of PPFs, and providing
10 a clear protocol for sending and responding to PPF overdue (and deficiency) letters. Plaintiff
11 failed to serve a PPF within sixty (60) days of the filing of the complaint, failed to serve a
12 PPF within twenty (20) days of receiving the first overdue letter, and has subsequently
13 ignored three (3) additional requests to serve a PPF upon Bard; thereby, delaying the
14 discovery process and forcing Bard to incur the cost of filing this Motion. Accordingly,
15 Plaintiff's Complaint may be dismissed by the Court pursuant to the terms of Case
16 Management Order No. 5 [Doc. 927].

17 WHEREFORE, Bard respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order dismissing
18 Plaintiff's Complaint for the foregoing reasons. For the Court's convenience, a proposed
19 order is attached as Exhibit C.

20 This 19th day of January, 2019.

s/Richard B. North, Jr.
Richard B. North, Jr.
Georgia Bar No. 545599
Matthew B. Lerner
Georgia Bar No. 446986
NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP
Atlantic Station
201 17th Street, NW / Suite 1700
Atlanta, GA 30363
PH: (404) 322-6000
FX: (404) 322-6050
Richard.North@nelsonmullins.com

James R. Condo (#005867)
Amanda Sheridan (#005867)
SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.
One Arizona Center
400 E. Van Buren
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2204
PH: (602) 382-6000
JCondo@swlaw.com
ASheridan@swlaw.com

**Attorney for Defendants C. R. Bard, Inc. and
Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.**

Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough

L.E.I.
201 17th Street NW, Suite 1700
Atlanta, GA 30363
~~(404) 222-6000~~

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

LLP.
201 17th Street NW, Suite 1700
Atlanta, GA 30363
(404) 322-6000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 19, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

s/Richard B. North, Jr.
Richard B. North, Jr.
Georgia Bar No. 545599
NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP
Atlantic Station
201 17th Street, NW / Suite 1700
Atlanta, GA 30363
PH: (404) 322-6000
FX: (404) 322-6050
Richard.North@nelsonmullins.com